Synthesis of CdS/L-cys nanoparticles colloid solutions with predetermined optical properties
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\section*{1. Introduction}

CdS nanoparticles (NPs) capped by L-cystein have been extensively studied in the last decades due to their special electronic, catalytic and optical properties. The Cd\textsuperscript{2+}--S\textsuperscript{2--}--L-cystein (L-cys) aqueous system composition, pH, ionic strength usually are basic parameters that determine size, morphology and fluorescent properties of the NPs that give a possibility for their usage as fluorescent probes in many biological applications, for analytical purposes of d-elements cations detection, as very efficient and highly chemoselective photocatalysts etc. [1–19]. However, the choice of the system composition has been determined in various papers in empirical manner that complicates the possibility of the NP's properties change generalization and prediction at given content of the precursors.

Recently Barglic-Chory et al. [13] successfully used statistical design of experiments for mathematical description of CdS/L-cys NPs properties (namely, band gap energy) dependences on two experimental factors (the concentration of the stabilizer and sulfidation reagent) at pH 4 and pH 8.

The most frequent design of various experiments results for multicomponent systems belongs to simplex-lattice designs suggested by Scheffe [20]. The basis for such a simple mathematical presentation of experimental results is a previous uniform scatter of the experimental points on the so-called simplex lattice. The number of design points to be performed for obtaining a definite order for a definite number of components. The purpose of this design is the empirical prediction of the response to any mixture of the components when the response depends only on the proportions of the components but not on the total amount of the mixture [20,21]. Using the method makes possible estimation of the properties of an entire system from a limited number of observations.

The aim of this paper is to study experimentally and to describe in the form of mathematical models the correlations “composition--optical properties” for the Cd\textsuperscript{2+}--S\textsuperscript{2--}--L-cys 3-component mixture in a wide concentration range, and to determine stability of the CdS/L-cys NPs prepared in aqueous solutions at pH 11, room temperature.

Note that according to the literature data the CdS/L-cys NPs were synthesized in most cases in inert atmosphere (in argon [6–8,11,13–15] or nitrogen [13–5,9,19]) to prevent oxidation of the L-cysteine ligands and sulfide-ions. On the contrary, our experiments were carried out without any special effort to prohibit contact of the liquids with atmospheric oxygen during both NPs synthesis and the prepared solutions storage.

\section*{2. Experimental}

Cadmium(II) chloride hydrate CdCl\textsubscript{2}·2.5H\textsubscript{2}O, L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), sodium sulfide hydrate Na\textsubscript{2}S·9H\textsubscript{2}O and sodium hydroxide NaOH used in the study were analytical grade and used without further purification. The investigated colloids were
obtained using initial aqueous solutions with content of Cd$^{2+}$, S$^{2-}$, and L-cys equal to 0.5 M, 0.5 M and 0.05 M, respectively. After the [Cd(L-cys)$_2$]S$^{2-}$ complexes preparation, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 11.0 with 0.5 M NaOH solution before the addition of calculated amount of the sodium sulfide solution. The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously with magnetic stirrer at room temperature during 0.5 h. The pH of the solutions was measured by LIDA220 pH-meter.

Two series of the CdS/L-cys NPs synthesis were performed. At first, the Cd$^{2+}$–S$^{2-}$–L-cys system composition range was determined according to the data [1–19] obtained at various pH (pH 4 to 11.8) that can be illustrated in the matrix by Scheffe’s plan presented in Fig. 1a by a concentration triangle ABC. The experimental point’s number from 1 to 19 corresponds to respective number in the Refs. list [1–19]. Coordinates of the triangle ABC are the following: A – 60% Cd$^{2+}$ + 30% L-cys + 10% S$^{2-}$; B – 10% Cd$^{2+}$ + 30% L-cys + 60% S$^{2-}$; C – 10% Cd$^{2+}$ + 80% L-cys + 10% S$^{2-}$. Thus, Cd$^{2+}$ ions dominate in the A corner, S$^{2-}$ ions in the B and L-cys in the C corner, correspondingly.

The experiments were realized according to the simplex-lattice design matrix for the fourth degree polynomial model [20,21]. Consequently, 15 reaction systems were prepared initially with the precursors’ content ratio illustrated in Fig. 1b by the “large” triangle ABC. Since some colloidal solutions became unstable after few days (Fig. 1b, shaded area), a smaller concentration triangle A$_2$B$_1$C was selected for more detailed investigation with smaller content of the precursors, namely, A$_2$ – 35% Cd$^{2+}$ + 55% L-cys + 10% S$^{2-}$; B$_1$ – 10% Cd$^{2+}$ + 55% L-cys + 35% S$^{2-}$. The composition of each solution and description of each point in the second series of experiments are given in Table 1.

Fourth power regression model has the form

\[
y = k_1x_1 + k_2x_2 + k_3x_3 + k_4x_1x_2 + k_5x_1x_3 + k_6x_2x_3 + k_7x_1x_2(x_1 - x_2) + k_8x_1x_3(x_1 - x_3) + k_9x_2x_3(x_2 - x_3) + k_{10}(x_1 - x_3)^2x_1x_2 + k_{11}(x_1 - x_2)^2x_1x_3 + k_{12}(x_2 - x_3)^2x_2x_3 + k_{13}x_1^2x_2x_3 + k_{14}x_1x_2^2x_3 + k_{15}x_1x_2x_3^2
\]

(1)

where $y$ is the corresponding property ($\lambda_{\text{edge}}$, quantum yield, NP of size in our case) and $k_i$ are the coefficients calculated from the system of 15 equations based on the experimental data [20,21].

The UV/vis spectra of the colloidal solutions were measured at room temperature by Ocean Optics USB-650 spectrometer in the range of 350–700 nm. The NPs size was determined by the formula based on the equation (in assumption of the NPs sphericity)

\[
R = \frac{h}{\sqrt{(8\mu\Delta E_p)}}, \quad \mu = \left(\frac{1}{m_n^2}\pi\right)^{-1}
\]

(2)

where $R$ is the radius, $h$ is the Planck constant; $m_n = 0.21$ $m_e$, $m_h = 0.8$ $m_e$ are electron and hole effective mass in CdS, correspondingly; $\Delta E_p = E_p(\text{nano}) - E_p(\text{bulk})$, $E_p(\text{bulk})$ CdS taken as 2.4 eV. The $E_p(\text{nano})$ value was estimated using the UV/vis spectra data absorbance edge $\lambda_{\text{edge}}$.

The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were measured at room temperature with a PerkinElmer LSS5 spectrophotometer with excitation wavelength $\lambda = 360$ nm. To obtain the quantum yield (QY) of the NPs, an anthracene solution was used as a standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point number</th>
<th>Composition of solutions which correspond to 15 points of simplex-lattice Scheffe’s plan for the composition triangle of A$_2$B$_1$C and their properties</th>
<th>Precursors’ content, %</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Quantum yield, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-cys (x$_1$)</td>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$ (x$_2$)</td>
<td>S$^{2-}$ (x$_3$)</td>
<td>L-cys (x$_1$)</td>
<td>Cd$^{2+}$ (x$_2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (A$_1$)</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>61.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>73.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (C)</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>73.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>61.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (B$_1$)</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>55.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>61.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>67.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>61.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic presentation of the content of 3-component mixture of Cd$^{2+}$ (point A) – S$^{2-}$ (point B) – L-cys (point C) used in the literature [1–19] at pH 4/11.8; (b) the colloidal solutions instability ranges (shaded) and positions of 15 stable solutions researched in this paper in “small” composition triangle A$_2$B$_1$C in the Scheffe’s plan.
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was used to image the as-grown CdS/L-cys NPs. To perform TEM a drop of sample has been deposited on the carbon coated copper grid. EM-420 electron microscope was used for the nanoparticles characterization.

3. Results and discussion

The spherical-like shape and rather small size (1–6 nm) of the NPs (eventually, quantum dots) from the solutions corresponded to the “small” composition triangle A1B1C are illustrated in Fig. 2. The images confirm the possibility to calculate the NPs' size by Eq. (2), using the absorbance spectra data and demonstrate satisfactory accordance of both of the size estimation methods. The NPs size distribution histograms (in the insets) show relatively low polydispersity of the colloid solutions.

The CdS/L-cys NPs kinetic growth was monitored by spectroscopic data in a period of over ten days for determination of the growth process end. The UV/vis spectra of the colloidal solutions were also controlled periodically during 18 months for observation of the long-term solutions stability. As shown above, substantial excess of one of the crystal-forming ions at small content of the stabilizer (concentration triangle ABC, see Fig. 1b) leads to instability of the colloid solutions. Formed at these conditions NPs quickly reached to 10–20 nm that soon caused their aggregation and precipitation. In general, most stable solutions are observed at [Cd²⁺]/[S²⁻] ratio close to stoichiometry.

More detailed investigations were performed with 15 stable compositions marked as composition triangle A1B1C in Fig. 1b. The absorbance edge shift to longer wavelengths of the tested solutions was observed in time range 1–24 h. The stabilized λ_edge data are listed in Table 1. Each λ_edge data in Table 1 is the average value determined from triplicate experiments which were rather reproducible (standard deviations do not exceed 0.7%).

Typical optical absorbance and PL-emission spectra of the CdS/L-cys NPs solutions are displayed in Fig. 3a and b. They indicated 7 days evolution of the absorbance spectra with multiple peaks corresponding to various sizes of NPs that occurred in two mixtures with equal deviation from equimolar [Cd²⁺]/[S²⁻] ratio (points 13 and 15 in the A1B1C triangle, see Fig. 1b and Table 1). As one can see excess of Cd-ions above stoichiometry (solution 13) had resulted in smaller λ_edge value (and correspondingly NPs size) in comparison with the same sulfur-ions excess case (solution 15).

It can be noted that almost all absorbance spectra initially had demonstrated bimodal distribution of the conventionally “small”
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**Fig. 2.** TEM-images and histograms of size distribution of CdS/L-cys NPs from solutions with various composition of the precursors (see Table 1); (a) N1; (b) N3; (c) N11; (d) N15.
and “large” NPs. The first absorption peak at 370 ± 1 nm corresponding to smallest NPs was recorded a few days after the synthesis and disappeared later. The smallest NPs’ size was estimated to be less than 1–2 nm in all cases. The characteristic absorbance peak of the “large” NPs in all spectra had stabilized in a few hours while λ_{edge} varied within 432 ± 24 nm that corresponded to 2R = 4.4 ± 0.6 nm.

The smallest NPs probably occurs due to slow decomposition of a part of primarily existing complexes [Cd(L-cys)]_{n}^{2+}, followed by further formation of such NPs. The largest NPs are formed, obviously, by Ostwald ripening mechanism consuming the smallest ones.

The [Cd^{2+}]/[S^{2-}] ratio also influences the growth kinetics of the NPs. At the same content of the stabilizer Cd-rich conditions provide essentially faster growth of the “large” NPs in comparison with S-rich solutions. Fig. 3c illustrates kinetics of the λ_{edge} long-wave shift in solution N6 with sulphide-ions excess, high content of L-cys. The data can be treated according to the LaMer mechanism, which suggests a short burst of nucleation followed by slow diffusive growth, favoring formation of mono-disperse crystalline nanoparticles.

Taking into account that the largest NPs correspond to higher value of the semiconductor band gap, it is of interest to obtain analytical description of interrelation between the precursor’s composition and the optical absorbance edge position λ_{edge} for the A_{1}B_{1}C triangle.

The experimental data can be fitted by the fourth degree polynomials as

\[ \lambda_{edge} = 4320.537 x_1 + 416x_2 + 456x_3 - 48x_1x_2 + 0.5x_1x_3 - 100x_2x_3 + 10x_1x_3(x_1 - x_2) + x_2x_3(x_1 - x_3) - 10x_2x_3(x_2 - x_3) - 85(x_1 - x_2)^2x_1x_2 + 0.5(x_1 - x_3)^2x_1x_3 + (x_2 - x_3)^2x_2x_3 + 618x_2^2x_2x_3 - 794x_1x_2^2x_3 + 400x_1x_2x_3^2 \]

(3)

where \( x_1, x_2 \) and \( x_3 \) are content (in part of unity) of L-cys, Cd^{2+} and S^{2-}, respectively.

A similar approach was used according to the experimental quantum yield (QY) value of the prepared 15 solutions after their properties stabilization that leads to the following fourth degree polynomial

\[ QY = 10.4x_1 + 3x_2 + x_1x_2 + 16.4x_1^2 + 48.8x_2x_3 + 94.4x_1x_2(x_1 - x_3) + 10.1x_1x_3(x_1 - x_3) + 10.1x_2x_3(x_2 - x_3) - 80(x_1 - x_2)^2x_1x_2 + 138(x_1 - x_3)^2x_1x_3 - 53.3(x_2 - x_3)^2x_2x_3 + 885.3x_1^2x_2x_3 - 321.6x_1x_2^2x_3 - 62.9x_1x_2x_3^2 \]

(4)

Fig. 4a visualizes estimated by Eq. (3) correlations with the λ_{edge} isolines step in 5–10 nm (black numbers). One can see that the influence of deviation of the crystal-forming ions content from stoichiometry on the NPs’ optical properties is asymmetrical. In general, at equal content of the stabilizer excess of the sulfide-ions causes a larger bathochromic shift in comparison with the same quantity of Cd^{2+}-ions.

The design of the Eq. (4) (Fig. 4b) illustrates the system composition influence on the NPs quantum yield. At stoichiometric ratio of [S^{2-}]/[Cd^{2+}] increase of the L-cys concentration
appropriately caused increase of the solutions QY up to 26%. Deviation from equimolar $[\text{Cd}^{2+}]/[\text{S}^{2-}]$ ratio in both directions decreases the solutions’ quantum yield demonstrating a negative role of the surface defects as the traps.

To test the adequacy of Eqs. (3) and (4) four solutions were specially performed (“adequacy points”, see Table 2) with other concentration of the components than the ones presented in Table 1. They show high adequacy of the calculated by Eq. (3) values to the experimental data, demonstrating difference less than 1–6 nm (Fig. 4a, red numbers, large points).

It is of interest to analyze the obtained experimental data using other experimental–statistical methods, for instance by STATISTICA v6 [22]. The last is the universal computer-integrated system intended for the statistical analysis and visualization of information.

As this program does not limit the number of experimental data and their coordinates for description, the experimental results both of the 15 basic points (see Table 1) and 4 additional ones (the adequacy points, Table 2) were used. Unfortunately, this program allows fitting not higher than the third order polynomial:

$$\lambda_{\text{edge}} = 431x_1 + 416x_2 + 455x_3 - 59x_1x_2 + 5x_1x_3 - 97x_2x_3 - 30x_1x_2(x_1 - x_2) - 4x_1x_3(x_1 - x_3) - 26x_2x_3(x_2 - x_3) + 85x_1x_2x_3$$  

(Fig. 5)

The results are illustrated in Fig. 5. Comparison of the 3-factors correlations of $\lambda_{\text{edge}}$ shown in Figs. 4a and 5a show that the $\lambda_{\text{edge}}$ values estimated by both Eqs. (3) and (5) coincide in general, though deviation from experimental data is remarkably higher in the third order approximation case (see Table 2). This correlation can be even better visualized in 3D-plot of the STATISTICA v6 software.

Comparing plots of Figs. 4a and 5a, one can see that both approaches demonstrate reversible dependence of the $\lambda_{\text{edge}}$ values...
on the capping agent concentration when [Cd\(^{2+}\)] and [S\(^{2-}\)] are equal (CM section). The NPs’ maximal size diminishes (proportionally to the \(\lambda_{\text{edge}}\)) with L-cys content increasing at small [L-cys] following by increase when the [L-cys]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)] relation reaches 4. Obviously this fact means that part of the Cd\(^{2+}\)-ions exist in rather stable complexes [Cd(L-cys)\(_4\)]\(^{4+}\) with the coordination number (n) of 4 that slowly disintegrate during the initial stage of the synthesis. Such interpretation can also explain existence of two peaks in the “fresh” absorbance spectra which transform into single peak with time during the NPs ripening. Thus one can conclude that minimal NPs size is obtainable at precursor-ions content ratio [L-cys]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)] = 4, [Cd\(^{2+}\)] = [S\(^{2-}\)].

The deviation of [Cd\(^{2+}\)]/[S\(^{2-}\)] from stoichiometry are resulted in adverse effect. At low content of the capping agent, excess of the Cd-ions demonstrate tendency to diminish the NPs’ size while the sulfur-ions excess remarkable stimulated their growth. Probably, after the decomposition of [Cd(L-cys)\(_4\)]\(^{4+}\) complexes due to formation of very stable compound (and, accordingly, nanocrystal CdS) the last is surrounded by sufficient amount of the capping agent for reduction the NPs size.

4. Conclusion

The systematic study of stability and optical properties (absorption and photoluminescence at room temperatures) of synthesized at pH 11 CdS/L-cys nanoparticles were performed with the precursors’ concentration varying within the wide composition range, according to found in literature. The NPs size variation is obtained within 1–30 nm, demonstrates the instability of the solutions with large excess of crystal-forming ions, low content of the capping agent.

The composition range of the colloid solutions stability was determined ([Cd\(^{2+}\)] and [S\(^{2-}\)] from (1.0 to 3.5) \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol\cdot\text{L}^{-1}; [L-cysteine] from (5.5 to 8) \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) mol\cdot\text{L}^{-1}) providing the smallest particles (up to 6 nm) formation. These solution composition parameters were estimated using Scheffe’s simplex–lattice design.

Numerical correlations between Cd\(^{2+}\), S\(^{2-}\) ions and L-cys concentrations and absorption edge (\(\lambda_{\text{edge}}\)), the CdS/L-cys nanoparticles size (2R), quantum yield (QY) of the obtained colloidal solutions have been determined by two statistical–experimental methods, Illustrated by 2D (according to Scheffe’s simplex-lattice design) and by 3D (according to STATISTICA v6 program) images of the obtained correlations showed a satisfactory agreement with the additional control data (the adequacy points). Both models were found to be adequate in general for the prediction of certain mixture ratios when the desired optical properties are achievable and vice versa.

The smallest formed at pH 11CdS/L-cys particles (2R = 3.7 nm, \(\lambda_{\text{edge}} = 406\) nm) are obtained at the precursors ratio [S\(^{2-}\)]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)]/[L-cys] = 1.2:9:6:1, the largest ones (2R = 5.3 nm, \(\lambda_{\text{edge}} = 456\) nm) are formed when [S\(^{2-}\)]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)]/[L-cys] = 3.5:10:5:5. Maximal QY = 26% of the stable solutions can be reached by the precursors content ratio near [S\(^{2-}\)]/[Cd\(^{2+}\)]/[L-cys] = 1.0:1.6:7:4.

The obtained results will help to choose better synthetic strategies for the formation of semiconductor nanoparticles with precisely tuned properties.
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