МІНІСТЕРСТВО ОСВІТИ І НАУКИ УКРАЇНИ МІНІСТЕРСТВО ОХОРОНИ ЗДОРОВ`Я УКРАЇНИ ВИЩИЙ ДЕРЖАВНИЙ НАВЧАЛЬНИЙ ЗАКЛАД УКРАЇНИ БУКОВИНСЬКИЙ ДЕРЖАНИЙ МЕДИЧНИЙ УНІВЕРСИТЕТ

МАТЕРІАЛИ

науково-практичної інтернет-конференції

РОЗВИТОК ПРИРОДНИЧИХ НАУК ЯК ОСНОВА НОВІТНІХ ДОСЯГНЕНЬ У МЕДИЦИНІ



м. Чернівці 27 листопада 2019 року

УДК 5-027.1:61(063)

P 64

Медицина є прикладом інтеграції багатьох наук. Наукові дослідження у сучасній медицині на основі досягнень фізики, хімії, біології, інформатики та інших наук відкривають нові можливості для вивчення процесів, які відбуваються в живих організмах, та вимагають якісних змін у підготовці медиків. Науковопрактична інтернет-конференція «Розвиток природничих наук як основа новітніх досягнень у медицині» покликана змінювати свідомость людей, характер їхньої діяльності та стимулювати зміни у підготовці медичних кадрів. Вміле застосування сучасних природничо-наукових досягнень є запорукою подальшого розвитку медицини як галузі знань.

Конференція присвячена висвітленню нових теоретичних і прикладних результатів у галузі природничих наук та інформаційних технологій, що ϵ важливими для розвитку медицини та стимулювання взаємодії між науковцями природничих та медичних наук.

Голова оргкомітету

професор, д.фіз.-мат.н. *Федів В.І.*, завідувач кафедри біологічної фізики та медичної інформатики Радою ВДНЗ України «Буковинський державний медичний університет»

Оргкомітет

доц., к.тех.н. *Бірюкова Т.В.*, доцент кафедри біологічної фізики та медичної інформатики ВДНЗ України «Буковинський державний медичний університет»

доц., к.фіз.мат.н. *Іванчук М.А.*, доцент кафедри біологічної фізики та медичної інформатики ВДНЗ України «Буковинський державний медичний університет»

доц., к.фіз.мат.н. *Олар О.І.*, доцент кафедри біологічної фізики та медичної інформатики ВДНЗ України «Буковинський державний медичний університет»

Почесний гість

Prof. Dr. Anton Fojtik, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic; Institute for Nanomaterials, Advanced Technologies and Innovation, Technical University of Liberec, Czech Republic

Розвиток природничих наук як основа новітніх досягнень у медицині: матеріали науково-практичної інтернет-конференції, м. Чернівці, 27 листопада 2019 р. / за ред. В. І. Федіва — Чернівці: БДМУ, 2019. — 390 с.

У збірнику подані матеріали науково-практичної інтернет-конференції «Розвиток природничих наук як основа новітніх досягнень у медицині». У тезах представлені результати теоретичних і експериментальних досліджень.

Матеріали подаються в авторській редакції. Відповідальність за достовірність інформації, правильність фактів, цитат та посилань несуть автори.

Для наукових та науково-педагогічних співробітників, викладачів закладів вищої освіти, аспірантів та студентів.

Рекомендовано до друку Вченою Радою ВДНЗ України «Буковинський державний медичний університет» (Протокол №4 від 28.11.2019 р.)

ISBN 978-966-697-840-3

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE OF UKRAINE
MINISTRY OF HEALTH OF UKRAINE
HIGHER STATE EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENT OF UKRAINE
"BUKOVINIAN STATE MEDICAL UNIVERSITY"

CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCES AS A BASIS OF NEW ACHIEVEMENTS IN MEDICINE



Chernivtsi, Ukraine November 27, 2019

UDC 5-027.1:61(063)

P 64

Medicine is an example of the integration of many sciences. Scientific research in modern medicine, based on the achievements of physics, chemistry, biology, computer science and other sciences, opens new opportunities for studying the processes occurring in living organisms and requires qualitative changes in the training of physicians. Scientific-practical Internet conference "Development of natural sciences as the basis of the latest achievements in medicine" aims to change the consciousness of people, the nature of their activity and stimulate changes in the training of medical personnel. The skillful application of modern scientific achievements is the key to the further development of medicine as a field of knowledge.

The conference is dedicated to the coverage of new theoretical and applied results in the field of natural sciences and information technologies, which are important for the development of medicine and stimulating interaction between scientists of natural and medical sciences.

General Chairman of the Conference

Prof, Dr. **Volodymyr Fediv**, chief of the Department of Biological Physics and Medical Informatics at Higher state educational establishment of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University"

Programme committee

Ass.prof., PhD **Tetjana Birukova**, Department of Biological Physics and Medical Informatics at Higher state educational establishment of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University"

Ass.prof., PhD Maria Ivanchuk, Department of Biological Physics and Medical Informatics at Higher state educational establishment of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University"

Ass.prof., PhD **Olena Olar**, Department of Biological Physics and Medical Informatics at Higher state educational establishment of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University"

Invited lecturer

Prof. Dr. Anton Fojtik, Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic; Institute for Nanomaterials, Advanced Technologies and Innovation, Technical University of Liberec, Czech Republic

Development of Natural Sciences as a Basis of New Achievements in Medicine: Conference Proceedings, November, 27, 2019, Chernivtsi, Ukraine/ edited by V.Fediv – Chernivtsi, BSMU, 2019. – 390 p.

The proceeding contains materials of a scientific and practical Internet conference "Development of the natural sciences as the basis of the latest achievements in medicine" which present the results of theoretical and experimental studies

Papers are submitted by the author editing. The authors are responsible for the accuracy of the information, the correctness of the facts, quotations and references.

For scientific and scientific-pedagogical staff, teachers of higher education institutions, graduate students and students.

ISBN 978-966-697-840-3

UDC: 616.33-002.2-008.87:579.841.5]-07-053.2

COMPARATIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF DIFFERENT METHODS FOR DIAGNOSIS OF HELICOBACTER PYLORI IN CHILDREN

Andriychuk D.R., Sorokman T.V., Sokolnyk S.V., Marchuk Yu.F.

Higher State Educational Establishment of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University", Chernivtsi andreychuk.denis@gmail.com

Abstract. Comparative evaluation of the results of different methods of infection H. pylori is shown. The study involved 120 patients 7-18 years old with the pathology of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Fibrogastroduodenoscopy was conducted with fence biopsies from the body, antrum and duodenum bulb. Verification of H. pylori was performed by the following methods: express methods (industrial sets «CLO-test» the company «Delta» (Australia), «De-Nol-test» firm «Yamanouchi» (Japan)) and laboratory tests («Campy-test» (Russia), «Helikotest» (Russia) and rapid urease test (Russia)), histological investigation, PCR detection ureC, CagA, VacA gene pathogenicity islands microorganism, biological, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of specific immunoglobulin classes M, A and G to CagA H. pylori antigen in serum. There was established that all express tests have a high sensitivity and specificity. To improve the accuracy of diagnosis helicobacter infection it is recommended to use at least two, and preferably three, research methods, preferably a combination of express urease test or «De-Nol-test «with histological methods (biopsy of the antrum of the stomach) or PCR (gene detection ureC, CagA and VacA). «Helic test» is recommended as an exact noninvasive method for assessing the effectiveness of eradication therapy, especially by children.

Key words: children, diagnostics, Helicobacter pylori.

Introduction. Helicobacter pylori infection is one of the most serious problems of gastroenterology due to the fact that the prevalence of infection with Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is progressively increasing, the disease is often detected in young working age and this microorganism is recognized like cancer gene of first order [1]. Thus, the development of algorithms for early and exact diagnostics of H. pylori infection will improve quality of treatment these patients. In addition, more attention is paid to the problem of re-infection, and therefore the necessity clarification of terms of control tests for H. pylori for differentiation re-infection and failure of eradication therapy [3].

During numerous comparative studies was found that the results of different methods are not always identical, so to avoid getting a false-negative or false-positive results, more exact diagnostics of the presence of infection must use at least two methods and the result is considered positive or negative in the case of coincidence indices of both methods of investigation [2]. Some authors even recommend the use of three methods in order to talk about absence of infection [4,6].

A comparative analysis of different methods effectiveness for diagnostics of H. pylori at adult patients with H. pylori-associated diseases [1], it was found that according to the rapid urease and breath test and H. pylori was detected in 100 % of patients, histological examination determined 70 %, by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) – 70% of patients. We found that the results of bacteriological methods in 25 % of cases were negative with the positive results of other methods of investigation, due to the complexity of culturing H. pylori, therefore, only the data bacteriological method is not recommended to navigate to avoid false negative results [6].

Main aim of the research is to compare different methods of Helicobacter pylori diagnostic at children.

Material and methods. We performed a comparative evaluation of the results of different methods of infection H. pylori with further development of optimization algorithm diagnosis of pyloric Helicobacter infection.

There were examined 120 patients 7 to 18 years old of pathology of the upper gastrointestinal tract (37 % of patients with peptic ulcer disease, 63 % – with chronic gastroduodenitis). The study involved the observance of the concept of informed consent on the basis of ethical principles in relation to children who are the subject of research (World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki 1964, 2000, 2008).

Patients underwent fibrogastroduodenoscopy with biopsy from the body and antrum and duodenal bulb (Sydney-Houston System, 1996). Verification of H. pylori was performed by the following methods: express methods (industrial sets «CLO-test» the company «Delta» (Australia), «De-Nol-test» firm «Yamanouchi» (Japan)) and laboratory tests («Campy-test» (Russia), «Helikotest» (Russia) and express urease test (Russia)), histological investigation, PCR with detection ureC, CagA, VacA gene pathogenicity islands microorganism, bacterilogical, enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of specific immunoglobulin classes M, A and G to CagA H. pylori antigen in serum by conventional method using diagnostic test-system «HelioBest-antibodies» (series D-3752) and a set of reagents company «BEST Vector» (Novosibirsk, Russia). Results are evaluated with a spectrophotometer by measurement of the optical density at a wavelength 450 nm.

Results. By comparison of the results, it was found that the maximum number of positive results was determined using the express urease test, the minimum amount – when seeding biopsies (Fig.1).

In addition, correlation analysis on match performance of different methods of diagnostics, according to which established a correlation between the results of the urease test and PCR (gene ureC) (r=0,67, p<0,01), urease test and histological examination (H. pylori in the stomach) (r=0,59, p<0,05), helic-test and histological examination (H. pylori in the stomach) (r=0,70, p<0,01). On this basis, it can be argued that the use of combinations of these methods will be the most informative for the diagnostics of infection.




Fig 1. Comparative description of the results of various diagnostic methods H. pylori (U - urease test, H - helicon-test, Hyst - histology, PCR - polymerase chain reaction ureC, IEA - ELISA, Bact - bacteriological study). The vertical axis - research methods, X-axis - the number of positive results, %.

In addition, correlation analysis on match performance of different methods of diagnostics, according to which established a correlation between the results of the urease test and PCR (gene ureC) (r=0,67, p<0,01), urease test and histological examination (H. pylori in the stomach) (r=0,59, p<0,05), helic-test and histological examination (H. pylori in the stomach) (r=0,70, p<0,01). On this basis, it can be argued that the use of combinations of these methods will be the most informative for the diagnostics of infection.

Express-diagnostics of H. pylori is based on its properties in large numbers (compared to other microorganisms) secrete urease that breaks down urea, which is part of a diagnostic test for carbon dioxide and ammonia. As a result, the pH shifted to the alkaline side and recorded by changing the color of diagnosticum. Exposure time of biopsy transfer in environment is different. Thus, by performance «CLO-test» and «Campy-test» results obtained after 24 hours, conducting

«Helicotest» allowed to conclude that the presence of H. pylori for 2 hours. The most «express» tests were «De-Nol-test» and urease quick test, in which the outcome was assessed for 5-20 min. All express tests had different sensitivity and specificity (Table 1).

Table 1
Comparative characteristics of express-methods for diagnostic H. pylori

Express-tests	Sensitivity	Specificity
CLO-test	95,1%	100%
Campy-tesy	92,1%	94,7%
Helico-test	96,3%	100%
De-Nol-test	96,8%	100%
Urease test	96,5%	100%

Rate of change of color express diagnosticums depend on the degree of sowing mucosa by microorganisms, confirmed following histological investigation. The most expressed, this pattern was observed during the De-Nol test and urease test. With a high degree sowing mucosa of pyloric bacteria changing color of these diagnostics do not exceed 5 minutes if the color change occurred within 5-15 minutes, the degree of sowing was mainly middle and low level at sowing came the reaction after 15-30 minutes. If the response time of the test exceeded 30 minutes, the result was considered doubtful [2].

Genotyping of H. pylori of individuals studied by PCR performed with primers specific for locus of genes responsible for the synthesis of CagA and VacA. The results of the study are presented in Table 2.

Results of genotyping of Helicobacter pylori

Table 2

Strain of Helicobacter pylori									
H. pylori (tox+),						H. pylori (tox -),			
n=86						n=34			
CagA+	-VacA+	CagA-	+VacA-	CagA-	VacA+	Tot	tal	Caga	A-VacA-
Abs.	%	Abs.	%	Abs.	%	Abs.	%	Abs.	%
46	53,4	24	27,9	16	18,6	86	71,7	34	28,3

The gravity of all strains with the presence of gene toxicity (tox +) is 71,7% of all strains of H. pylori. Spectrum of gene toxicity pylori was distributed as follows: CagA (+) identified in 70 persons (81,3%), VacA (+) – 62 children (72,1%). Thus among strains of H. pylori was detected heterogeneity with natural increase biochemical activity of bacteria in the presence of these gene CagA.

Comparing the results of cytoscopic investigation smears-matrix and DNA typing of genes toxicity H. pylori found that the smears-matrix helicobacter-like microflora in mucosa of antrum was found at 86 of 120 persons with helicobacter infection, diagnosed by PCR, therefore sensitivity amounted to71,7%. Helicobacter microflora in the mucosa of stomach body was detected in 49 (40,8%) patients, whereas in 34 duodenal biopsies of examined children, only 11 (32,3%) found H. pylori (Table 3).

Table 3
Frequent of revealing Helicobacter microflora by cytoscopic method and polymerase chain reaction

Biopsy sampling location	Cytoscopic method, (%)	Polymerase chain reaction		
		method, (%)		
Antrum, n=120	71,7*	100		
Body of the stomach, n=120	40,8*	100		
Duodenum, n=34	32,3*	100		

Mark. * – difference is significant (p<0,01).

Thus, most often H. pylori is detected in the antrum (71,7%), the least – in duodenal bulb (32,3%). The difference between the frequencies of detection H. pylori in different parts of the gastroduodenal region is reliable (n=120, p<0,01).

All express-tests have a fairly high level of sensitivity and specificity. For express diagnostics for the presence of Helicobacter pylori bacteria can use any of these, however, the advantage, in our opinion, should be given to «De-nol test» or urease test, which allows not only to carry out a qualitative response, but also to assess the degree of sowing mucous membrane of these bacteria [4].

However, we performed express-tests is quite informative, but they are qualitative reactions, recording only the waste products of H. pylori. Positive results were obtained in the course of urease and helic-test with negative results obtained by histological methods or PCR, can not explain false-positive results, and the fact that during the urease test and helic-test determined the waste products of H. pylori, rather than the microrganism that can not get into the biopsies studied using histological methods or PCR [3].

Conclusions. To improve the accuracy of H.pylori infection diagnosis it is recommended to use at least two, and preferably three research methods, preferably a combination of express urease test or «De-nol-test» with histological methods (biopsy of the antrum of the stomach) or PCR (gene detection ureC, CagA and VacA). For express-diagnostics for the presence of H. pylori bacteria it

can be used any of them. «Helic-test» is recommended as an exact noninvasive method for assessing the effectiveness of eradication therapy, especially for children.

References

- 1. Best LM, Takwoingi Y, Siddique S, Selladurai A, Gandhi A, Low B, Yaghoobi M, Gurusamy KS. Non-invasive diagnostic tests for Helicobacter pylori infection: *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018 Mar 15;3:CD012080.
- 2. Kalach N, Bontems P, Raymond J. Helicobacter pylori infection in children. *Helicobacter*. 2017 Sep;22 Suppl 1: 278-292.
- 3. Moran-Lev H, Lubetzky R, Mandel D, Yerushalmy-Feler A, Cohen S. Inverse Correlation between Helicobacter pylori Colonization and Pediatric Overweight: A Preliminary Study. *Child Obes*. 2017 Aug;13(4):267-271.
- 4. Sabbagh P, Javanian M, Koppolu V, Vasigala VR, Ebrahimpour S. Helicobacter pylori infection in children: an overview of diagnostic methods. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2019 Jun;38(6):1035-1045.
- 5. Seo JH, Park JS, Rhee KH, Youn HS. Limitations of urease test in diagnosis of pediatric Helicobacter pylori infection. *World J Clin Pediatr*. 2015 Nov 8;4(4):143-7.
- 6. Sustmann A, Okuda M, Koletzko S. Helicobacter pylori in children. Helicobacter. 2016 Sep;21 Suppl 1:49-54.

УДК 32.50.5

ПРИЛАД ДЛЯ БЕЗКОНТАКТНОЇ ПЕРВИННОЇ МЕДИЧНОЇ ДІАГНОСТИКИ Білов М.Є. 1 , Дудко О.Г. 1 , Крамар В.М. 2 , Сорочан О.М. 3 , Шайко-Шайковський О.Г. 2

¹Вищий державний навчальний заклад України «Буковинський державний медичний університет», Чернівці, Україна ²Чернівецький національний університет ім. Юрія Федьковича, Україна ³Приазовський державний технічний університет, м. Маріуполь, Україна shayko@bk.ru

Анотація. Розглянута розроблена авторами апаратура та методика її використання для безконтактної діагностики під час первинного обстеження хворих. З цією метою здійснюється вимірювання теплових потоків з різних ділянок тіла пацієнта. При наявності запальних процесів, післяопераційних швів, інфекційних хвороб та захворюваннях можливо лише дистанційне безконтактне обстеження. Шляхом аналізу та порівняння теплових потоків з досліджуваних ділянок тіла формуються висновки про ті чи інші відхилення від нормальних умов функціонування організму та робиться прогноз про ймовірні причини цих відхилень.

Ключові слова: теплове випромінювання, діагностика, апаратура для безконтактних вимірювань.