

Conclusion. Therefore, it should be noted that on the whole students liked problem oriented teaching methods. They learnt to work in a team, to analyze and synthesize new information, to make decisions in different clinical situations and become able to identify and avoid medical errors.

References

1. Faeder S, McIvor WR. Patient simulation pulmonary physiology lab. MedEdPORTAL. 2010. № 6. P. 8078.
2. Білоус Т.М., Гарас М.Н., Легкун Г.В. Досвід впровадження проблемно-орієнтованого навчання під час вивчення дитячих хвороб студентами випускного курсу в межах грантового проєкту ERASMUS+ (результати анонімного анкетування). Проблеми безперервної медичної освіти та науки. 2017. № 1. С. 16–20.
3. Дмітренко Н. Є. Впровадження проблемно-орієнтованого навчання на заняттях у вищому навчальному закладі. Innovative solutions in modern science. 2016. № 1. С. 1–13.
4. Ключко С. С. Принципы организации проблемно-ориентированного обучения на кафедре гистологии, цитологии и эмбриологии в условиях внедрения современных информационных технологий. Медицинская информатика и инженерия. 2015. № 4. С. 29–33.
5. Миколаєнко С.М. Інноваційні системи у Вищій медичній школі України. Київ: Наука і життя, 2001. Т. 12. С. 37–42.

REALITIES AND PROSPECTS OF THE SIMULATION TRAINING IN THE MEDICAL EDUCATION

Shuper V.O., Shuper S.V., Trefanenko I.V., Shumko H.I., Reva T.V.

Bucovinian State Medical University, Chernivtsi

Healthcare and medical training have no immunity to universal, rapidly changing technology. In medical education, advances like simulations, virtual patients, and e-learning have evolved as pedagogical strategies to facilitate an active, learner-centered teaching approach [2, 3]. The medical educators need to rapidly evolve the methods of teaching to minimize the onslaught of disrupted medical education, while also building innovative systems to accommodate the medical student cohorts stuck in the time of this SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

The current situation demands use and furthering of these pedagogical innovations. Use of e-learning modules (flash multimedia and digitized images), patient surrogates such as virtual patients (to teach clinical examination, procedural, diagnostic skills and communication skills) and virtual-reality simulators (to teach

palpation, surgical and resuscitation skills) is needed. Simulators have shown to be as effective as live actor-patients for teaching purposes [3].

Patient simulation is a useful tool for training students and ascertaining competency prior to students entering clinical environments. Simulations using patient manikins allow students to acquire necessary skills and practice without fear of harming patients. In order for knowledge gained during patient simulations to translate into clinical practice, scenarios must feel realistic to students. Augmented reality, which combines virtual reality with physical materials, instruments, and feedback, is increasingly being used as a teaching and learning tool to make simulations even more realistic for students [2].

Simulation to enhance patient safety has 4 general purposes: education (for example, in transitioning trainees from content knowledge to experiential practice, and in continuing education); assessment (for example, in quality control or quality improvement, or usability testing); research (for example, regarding clinician behaviors) and health system integration (for example, team processes).

Virtual reality (VR) modalities may create a digital environment, designed to resemble aspects of the real world. As a result, trainees using VR simulation learn tasks in a setting closely mimicking relevant realistic situations. Relevant scenarios can thus be practiced in surroundings where exploration and troubleshooting are safe. Applications using VR have shown to be able to improve learning outcome for different training procedures for various medical specialists [1].

Augmented reality (AR) differs from VR because the focus of the interaction of the performed task lies within in the real world (AR) instead of the digital environment (VR). AR thus offers the opportunity of a digital, often interactive overlay onto a real or virtual environment. Augmented reality applications (ARAs) are digital applications offering such an extra layer. To the user, layers of the virtual and physical environment are blended in such a way that an immersive, interactive environment is experienced. Hence, ARAs may have great potential in training medical personnel [2].

Modern teaching curricula aim to educate trainees efficiently and in a safe environment. Educational methods currently being used in medical specialist training include practice-based learning, problem-based learning, team-based learning, eLearning and (VR) simulation training. Although VR learning environments offer opportunities for full- and partial-task training, they are often a mere representation of a task in reality. This may result in medical specialists that may be well trained for a particular task on the job in a set context, but who lack competencies needed to adapt to ever-changing situations in the real working

environment. To acquire stable, crossover competencies, it is necessary to create a training environment offering flexibility and adaptation in training true-to-life working processes in changing environments as is much needed in medical settings. As medical specialist training involves complex learning, ARAs are of great potential. AR allows the transfer of digital information into the real world, therefore blending two worlds together [5].

Mixed reality (MR) technology is a new digital holographic image technology, which appears in the field of graphics after virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) technology, a new interdisciplinary frontier. As a new generation of technology, MR has attracted great attention of clinicians in recent years. The emergence of MR will bring about revolutionary changes in medical education training, medical research, medical communication, and clinical treatment. At present, MR technology has become the popular frontline information technology for medical applications. With the popularization of digital technology in the medical field, the development prospects of MR are inestimable [4].

Despite the undoubted advantage of IT and simulation-based education, in the current situation, there are distinct disadvantages. The foremost is the fact that all these tools can be supplemental to clinical teaching but not a replacement. Secondly, setting up of a virtual learning environment or a simulation laboratory is costly and time-taking, making it especially unsuited for the low and middle income countries. Thirdly, while virtual simulators will maintain the tenet of both non-contact with patient and social distancing amongst students, mannequin simulators will flout social distancing needs amongst students precluding their use currently [3].

Conclusions. Increasingly integral to the practice of medicine, technology endeavors to streamline a clinician's work and medical education, and to offer credible, easily accessible information. To enhance trainee growth and empower innovative scientific leaders, educators should play a crucial role in how technology transforms medical education. Trainees prefer technology-associated modalities that offer learning material that is interactive, reputable, simple, pragmatic, and coupled with relevant feedback. Innovations like virtual reality and simulations effectively increase knowledge, performance skills, and team communication through realistic clinical cases.

References

1. Barsom E.Z., Graafland M., Schijven M.P. Systematic review on the effectiveness of augmented reality applications in medical training. *Surg Endosc* 2016; 30:4174–4183.
2. Chaballout B, Molloy M, Vaughn J, Brisson III R, Shaw R. Feasibility of Augmented Reality in Clinical Simulations: Using Google Glass With Manikins. *JMIR Med Educ* 2016;2(1):e2. <https://doi.org/10.2196/mededu.5159>.
3. Larsen T., Jackson N.J., Napolitano J. A Comparison of Simulation-Based Education and Problem-Based Learning in Pre-Clinical Medical Undergraduates. *MedEd Publish* 2020, 9:172.
4. Nicola S., Stoicu-Tivadar L. Mixed Reality Supporting Modern Medical Education. *EFMI-STC* 2018:242–246.
5. Tang K.S., Cheng D.L, Mi E., Greenberg P.B. Augmented reality in medical education: a systematic review. *CMEJ* 2020;11(1):e81-e96.

AN EXPLORATION OF THE EFFECT OF SIMULATION ON PERCEPTIONS OF MEDICAL STUDENTS' PREPAREDNESS FOR PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

Shvets N.V.

Bukovinian State Medical University, Chernivtsi

Studies have shown that some medical students do not feel prepared to practice as a foundation doctor once they graduate. Preparedness is vital to ensure patients are getting the best, safest care, and to avoid stress, anxiety and burnout in junior doctors. Technology-enhanced learning has become commonplace in medical education; with this, simulation has been introduced in wide-ranging ways. Although the evidence for simulation improving patient outcomes is clear across postgraduate and continuing professional education, studies have failed to systematically show the same outcomes for undergraduates, despite the widespread use of simulation in undergraduate medical curricula. This mixed-methods, two-phase study was designed to explore the effects of simulation on perceptions of students' preparedness for professional practice. The study took a longitudinal format, over two academic years, gathering data (with questionnaires and interviews) from two participant groups; fifth-year medical students and key stakeholders. The study compared two diverse simulation formats; ward simulation and bleep simulation, both designed to develop the knowledge and non-technical skills required for the transition to professional practice. The results of this thesis suggest that simulation has a role in preparing students for the knowledge required for professional practice and may result in a change in behaviour longitudinally.